

## Revealing the Crucial Role and Future Prospects of Biofertilizers for Improving Soil Health and Crop Productivity in Eco-Friendly Sustainable Agriculture in Indonesia

Tualar Simarmata<sup>\*1</sup>, Fiqriah Hanum Khumairah<sup>\*1</sup>, Fairus Hisanah Hibatullah<sup>1</sup>, Irwandhi<sup>1</sup>, Debora Ambarita<sup>1</sup>, Diyan Herdiyantoro<sup>1</sup>, Nadia Nuraniya Kamaluddin<sup>1</sup>, and Anne Nurbaity <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Soil Sciences and Land Resources of Agricultural Faculty – Universitas Padjadjaran. Jl. Soekarno km 21 – Jatinangor – West Java

\*Corresponding author: tualar.simarmata@unpad.ac.id and figriahhanum@gmail.com

Received June 11, 2024; Accepted October 18, 2024

## ABSTRACT

Agricultural intensification in Indonesia has largely depended on inorganic fertilizers to meet the food demands of its rapidly growing population. However, this reliance has resulted in severe environmental challenges including soil degradation, diminished soil fertility, and pollution from chemical fertilizers. Biofertilizers, which utilize beneficial microorganisms to promote plant growth and soil health, offer a sustainable alternative for agriculture. This article presents a comprehensive overview of biofertilizers in Indonesia, through bibliometric analysis and literature review examining their development, current status, and effectiveness. It categorizes different types of biofertilizers, such as nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphatesolubilizing microorganisms, mycorrhizal fungi, water fern Azolla, plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, and biofertilizers, based on local knowledge, assessing their role in improving soil health and crop productivity. The review highlights that biofertilizers enhance soil properties by increasing nutrient availability, organic matter content, and microbial activity. Studies show that crops treated with biofertilizers demonstrate better growth, higher yields, and greater resilience to stress compared to those reliant on chemical fertilizers. The use of microorganisms like Azospirillum, Rhizobium, Bacillus, and Pseudomonas has significantly improved soil nitrogen and phosphorus levels, contributing to better root development and plant health. Despite these advantages, the adoption of biofertilizers in Indonesia is hindered by challenges such as inconsistent results in the field, limited farmer awareness, and inadequate infrastructure for production and distribution. To address these barriers, the article suggests strategies including farmer education, the development of region-specific strains, and inclusive government policies. It also underscores the importance of enhancing research and development as well as improving distribution networks to ensure the quality and accessibility. In conclusion, biofertilizers have substantial role to support eco-friendly sustainable agriculture in Indonesia. By addressing current obstacles through targeted interventions, widespread adoption can be achieved, leading to improved soil health, higher crop productivity, and reduced environmental impact,—the key factors for ensuring long-term agricultural sustainability and food security in Indonesia.

Keywords: bibliometric, biofertilizers, future prospect, policy, soil health

## INTRODUCTION

Increasing food production through intensification that relies on the use of inorganic fertilizers and various chemicals has become a solution to meet the rising food demands in Indonesia. Since the Green Revolution in the 1960s, intensification programs have significantly increased crop productivity. However, they have also led to a decline in soil health and fertility. Agricultural intensification continues to be driven by the need to meet the increasing food demands of the growing population (Simarmata 2013). Indonesia's population in 2024 has reached approximately 280 million people, with a growth rate of about 1.28 % per year and a doubling time of around 35-40 years. This means that by 2050, Indonesia's population is projected to reach 400-450 million people, with a rice consumption rate of about 100-125 kg per capita per year (UNFPA Indonesia 2018). On the other hand, agricultural land is experiencing a gradual reduction due to the conversion of farmland for other uses (roads, housing, and other infrastructure), estimated at around 125,000-150,000 hectares per year, which makes agriculture even more intensive. The rate of agricultural land conversion to non-agricultural uses, based on data from the Central Statistics Agency of Indonesia, for the period 1998-2002, was 110,000 hectares per year (Mulyani *et al.*, 2016; UNFPA Indonesia 2018).

Intensive agricultural fertilization relying on chemical fertilizers accelerates the decomposition of soil organic matter, reduces organic carbon content, and diminishes soil health and quality. Recent research indicates that agricultural soils worldwide are becoming exhausted and are classified as "sick soils," with organic carbon content less than 1.5%. Approximately 90% of the 70 million hectares of agricultural land has significantly degraded and is categorized as sick and fatigued soils (Goud *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, around 70% of paddy fields (about 7.4 million hectares) have low organic carbon content, 22% have moderate organic carbon content, and 4% have high organic carbon content (Simarmata *et al.*, 2018). As a result, the decline in soil health and quality threatens food availability and poses a potential disaster for human life. Furthermore, global warming has exacerbated these issues, leading to decreased agricultural productivity, increased pest and disease outbreaks, shifting seasons, salinization, and the loss of agricultural land due to rising sea levels (The World Bank Group and Asian Development Bank 2021; Simarmata *et al.*, 2023).

The adoption of eco-friendly and sustainable agriculture based on biofertilizers and organic fertilizers is essential for the recovery and sustainable enhancement of soil and agricultural productivity (Simarmata *et al.*, 2023). The key characteristics include efficient resource management, environmentally friendly agricultural practices, minimizing chemical use, sustainable soil management, renewable energy and energy efficiency, and social and economic well-being (Simarmata *et al.*, 2023).

Biofertilizers play a crucial role in (1) enhancing and facilitating nutrient availability, (2) improving and increasing soil health, (3) reducing or substituting the use of inorganic fertilizers, and (4) boosting plant health and productivity. Biofertilizers can generally be defined as preparations containing live or latent cells capable of efficient nitrogen-fixation, phosphate-solubilization, or cellulolytic mechanisms for application to seeds, soil, or composting areas with the objective of increasing the number of such microorganisms and accelerating those microbial processes that augment the availability of nutrients that can be easily assimilated by plants (Simarmata 2013; Abioye *et al.*, 2024). Biofertilizers in liquid or solid form can mobilize, facilitate, and increase the availability of nutrients (Simarmata 2013; Barin *et al.*, 2022). Biofertilizers that have high potential for integrated organic-based agriculture include: N-fixers, P-solubilizing microbes, K-solubilizing microbes, phytohormone-producing microbes (plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria), organic matter decomposers, mycorrhizal fungi and microbes acting as biocontrol agents (Haryantini *et al.*, 2019; Ji *et al.*, 2020; Shi *et al.*, 2023).

The latest studies reveal that the use of nitrogen-fixing biofertilizers can supply 25-75% of a plant's nitrogen needs (Rehmaan *et al.*, 2022). Symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria that form nodules can reduce the use of inorganic fertilizers by approximately 75-90%, while non-symbiotic ones generally reduce it by around 25% (Langenfeld *et al.*, 2021). Phosphate-solubilizing microbes can enhance fertilizer efficiency by about 25% (Khumairah *et al.*, 2022). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) biofertilizers not only increase nutrient availability for plants but also act as bioprotectors, improving both soil and plant health (Kloepper *et al.*, 1980; Vessey 2003; Harahap *et al.*, 2023). The use of biofertilizers can significantly increase nitrogen availability and reduce the use of nitrogen fertilizers. Additionally, Azolla is an inexpensive green manure

that is widely available and can be used in large quantities. Azolla extract can be produced and enriched using beneficial microbes (biofertilizers agent or bioagent) and applied as liquid foliar biofertilizers (Setiawati *et al.*, 2018; Prayoga *et al.*, 2021).

The primary aims of this systematic literature review (SLR) and bibliometric analysis are to comprehensively assess and reveal: (1) the history of biofertilizer development, current status of biofertilizer technology, and various groups of biofertilizers in Indonesia, (2) the performance of biofertilizers in improving soil health, plant growth, and productivity, (3) strategies for mainstreaming biofertilizers, and (4) the challenges and prospects of biofertilizers in promoting eco-friendly sustainable agriculture.

## METHODOLOGY

## Data retrieval for bibliometric analysis

Data for this bibliometric analysis F were collected from Scopus database. The search terms and combinations used were "biofertilizer", "soil", "plant", "sustainable", "agriculture", "bacteria", "fungi", "azolla", "algae", "pgpr", "local", and "microorganism". The search was limited to research articles published from 2020-2024. Language of publication was limited to English. The detailed search strategy is outlined in Table 1. The bibliographic information from the search results was exported in RIS format and analyzed using VOSviewer 1.6.19. The occurrence term was set to 50 obtaining 128 terms for visualization.

Table 1. Search strategies used to retrieve relevant research articles

Search Strategies	Scopus
biofertilizer AND soil	716
biofertilizer AND plant	970
biofertilizer AND sustainable AND agriculture	146
biofertilizer AND bacteria	397
biofertilizer AND fungi	240
biofertilizer AND azolla	11
biofertilizer AND algae	38
biofertilizer AND pgpr	118
biofertilizer AND local AND microorganism	8
Total data retrieved from Scopus database	2,644

#### Systematic literature review using PRISMA

Data obtained from Scopus were evaluated following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow guidelines or through a Systematic Literature Review (see Figure 1). Duplicates were removed using Mendeley Reference Manager version 2.107.0. The eligibility of the included documents was determined based on the relevance of their abstracts to the article's topic. Irrelevant documents were classified as ineligible and excluded from further content analysis.



Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart reporting the article selection process for the systematic literature review

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **Bibliometric analysis by VOSviewer**

Bibliometric data analysis was done using VOSviewer to assign developing themes of study and research trends during the last five years. The mapping of document keywords through visual aids, such as binary counting, to show their co-occurrence. To find 128 key keywords using a variety of search techniques, the minimum co-occurrence of the document keywords in the research articles was set at 50. These important terms paint a fairly accurate picture of the paths taken by scientists studying biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture.

A stark distinction exists between research associated with plant growth affected by rhizobacteria and experiments using fertilizers, yet research about stress tolerance and microbial abundance is still limited. Figure 2 presents the four clusters formed by all connected terms. Four clusters were formed with red, green, blue, and yellow colors indicating the number of topics published from the most to the least. Cluster 1, indicated in red color, reflected all reports related to plant growth affected by rhizobacteria consisting of

terms such as acetic acid, ammonia, Bacillus, bacterial strain, beneficial effect, biocontrol agent, endophytic bacterium, formulation, gene, germination, growth promotion, inoculant, microorganism, pgpr, and plant growth promotion. Cluster 2, indicated in green color, reflected all reports related to experiments using fertilizer consisting of terms such as biofertilizers, dose, experiment, fertilization, field experiment, foliar application, grain yield, randomized block design, inorganic fertilizers and soil health. Cluster 3, indicated in blue color, reflected all reports related to stress tolerance consisting of terms such as drought, salt stress, tolerance, catalase, root colonization, proline, and heavy metals. Cluster 4, indicated in yellow color, reflected all reports related to microbial abundance consisting of terms such as abundance, diversity, function, greenhouse experiment, and microbial community. These results showed a critical area of interest and research about biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture based on VOSviewer analysis that has been done. Research in biofertilizers, driven by the need to address the negative impacts of chemical fertilizers on soil health, water quality, and the environment, aims to mitigate agricultural environmental impacts by reducing synthetic inputs and promoting more sustainable practices (Allouzi et al., 2022; Jacob and Paranthaman 2023). Additionally, research into stress tolerance and microbial abundance remains a burgeoning area of interest in the study of biofertilizers. This is driven by the need to understand how plants adapt to and cope with various environmental stresses, such as drought, salinity, and extreme temperatures. This research is crucial for developing sustainable agricultural practices that can ensure crop resilience and productivity under changing environmental conditions (Ali et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2023).

Figure 3 shows a representation of the publication year mapping. Degradation of the color from dark blue to light yellow means the time of publication from the oldest (2021) to the latest (2022). It can be seen that research relating to plant stress tolerance and microbial diversity can be found mostly beyond 2022 (yellow color). This reinforces the previous observation in Figure 2 that research on these topics is limited because they are still relatively new and emerging. However, interest in these areas is growing. In contrast, research on plant growth affected by rhizobacteria and experiments using fertilizers, as shown in Figure 4, is more prevalent and denser compared to other topics. These findings indicate that biofertilizer is still a hot topic to be researched and used for sustainable agriculture.



Figure 2. A network visualization of the co-occurrence mapping of pertinent keywords related to research on biofertilizers.



Figure 3. An overlay visualization of the publication year mapping related to research on biofertilizers.



FFTC Journal of Agricultural Policy | Vol. 7 | 6

Figure 4. A density visualization of the co-occurrence mapping of pertinent keywords related to research on biofertilizers.

## Trends and development of biofertilizers

#### Trends in biofertilizer research

Based on the bibliometric results, an investigation into biofertilizer research trends using Scopus data from 1982 to 2024 (Figure 5.).





Figure 5 shows a significant increase in the number of journals indexed in Scopus with the keywords "biofertilizer" and "sustainable agriculture" from 1982 to 2024, both globally and in Indonesia. The number of journals for both topics has steadily increased each period, with a sharp rise seen from 2018 to 2024. This trend indicates growing interest and attention towards research in the fields of biofertilizers and sustainable agriculture.

Indonesia needs to conduct more research on biofertilizers because the country has extremely high biodiversity, which can be utilized to develop environmentally friendly and sustainable fertilizers. The high microbial diversity in Indonesia is driven by a stable tropical climate, the variety of plants that provide root exudates, and the symbiotic relationships between microbes and plants (Umukoro, 2020). The use of biofertilizers can naturally enhance soil fertility, reduce dependence on chemical fertilizers, and improve agricultural yields (Macik *et al.*, 2020). The use of biofertilizers can also enhance the health of marginal soils in Indonesia by improving soil structure, increasing organic matter content, and supporting beneficial microbial activity, making previously less fertile soils more productive for agriculture (Fitriatin *et al.*, 2021). Some microbes found in various soils across Indonesia have the potential to become biofertilizers that can enhance biodiversity in marginal soils (Ambarita *et al.*, 2024). Additionally, this research is crucial for supporting sustainable agriculture and maintaining ecosystem balance, aligning with global efforts to mitigate climate change impacts. With its significant potential in the agricultural sector, Indonesia can leverage biofertilizers to boost agricultural productivity, improve farmers' welfare, and ensure national food security.

## History and development of biofertilizer utilization in Indonesia

Research on biofertilizers in Indonesia has been continuously evolving since the 1980s. Various types of biofertilizers, including PGPR, Azolla, and phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, have played a crucial role in supporting environmentally friendly agriculture in Indonesia, from the initial stages of basic research

to their widespread adoption by farmers. Roadmap of the history of biofertilizer usage in Indonesia is shown in Figure 6.



#### Figure 6. Roadmap of biofertilizer utilization in Indonesia

Biofertilizers in Indonesia were first researched by Badan Penelitian Tanah (BPT) from 1960 to 1980, characterizing beneficial soil bacteria and testing their effectiveness in soil to enhance soil fertility and plant productivity. In the early 1990s, BPT successfully isolated Rhizobium, which proved effective in increasing the production of leguminous plants. This bacterium was then commercialized with the private sector, resulting in the production of Rhizo-plus (Irmawanty *et al.*, 2021). Rhizo-plus is one of the pioneering biofertilizers introduced in Indonesia.

Rhizo-plus is a biofertilizer composed of several superior strains, carefully selected and used as key components in biofertilizers. The addition of phosphorus-solubilizing microbes and growth-promoting bacteria to soybean plants inoculated with Rhizobium has been shown to increase phosphorus availability in the soil, stimulate root growth, and enhance the uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus by plants. This biofertilizer enhances the efficiency of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer usage for soybean plants by improving the effectiveness of nitrogen fixation symbiosis and phosphorus solubilization capabilities. As a result, it reduces the need for nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers while increasing yields by 20-40%. During the 1997-1998 planting season, the Rhizo-plus compound biofertilizer was applied to 330,790 hectares of soybeans across 26 provinces (Harsono *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, since 1990, extensive research has been conducted on the use of phosphorus-solubilizing microbes. This research has successfully identified isolates that are highly effective in solubilizing phosphate from insoluble sources, with the potential to improve the growth and nutrient uptake of maize plants.

In the 2000s, research on mycorrhiza and PGPR in Indonesia experienced significant growth, focusing on understanding the roles and potentials of both types of microbes in enhancing agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability. This research included the identification of potential mycorrhizal and PGPR species, understanding their mechanisms of action and interactions with plants and the surrounding environment, as well as the development of practical application technologies such as effective biofertilizer formulations and application methods. It is hoped that this knowledge can be widely implemented in agricultural practices, supported by farmer education and cooperation between the government, research institutions, and the private sector.

With an increasing awareness of the importance of using biofertilizers, the government supports the use of organic fertilizers or biofertilizers in Indonesia to improve soil health and minimize the use of chemical fertilizers. In 2000, the Indonesian government initiated the "Go Organic 2000" movement to promote biofertilizers and reduce dependence on chemical fertilizers. Since 2000, Indonesia has been continuously conducting research on biofertilizers, demonstrating its commitment to advancing agricultural practices and sustainability.

## Types of biofertilizers in Indonesia

Currently, in Indonesia, various types of biofertilizers are being utilized to support and enhance plant growth. Figure 7 illustrates the interaction of different beneficial microorganisms with plant roots, highlighting their roles in promoting plant growth and improving soil health. The types of biofertilizers presently used in Indonesia include nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, azolla, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.



Figure 7. Illustration of the Role of Microbes as Biofertilizers

## Nitrogen fixing bacteria

A nitrogen-fixing biofertilizer contains nitrogen-fixing bacteria (NFB) that can colonize the plant rhizosphere, promoting plant growth by increasing the availability of essential nutrients (Khumairah *et al.*, 2022). NFB can inhabit plant roots and convert atmospheric nitrogen into a form plants use, enriching the soil (Basu *et al.*, 2021). These natural NFB are categorized into symbiotic and non-symbiotic N-fixing bacteria. Symbiotic N-fixing bacteria form a mutualistic relationship with plants, such as Rhizobium species (*Rhizobium* sp. and *Bradyrhizobium* sp.), which live in the roots of legumes and create nodules (Manasikana *et al.*, 2019).

Non-symbiotic bacteria, such as *Azotobacter* species are NFB that live independently and can convert atmospheric nitrogen into compounds usable by plants (Reed *et al.*, 2011). *Azotobacter* fixes nitrogen and produces growth-enhancing substances, vitamins, and antifungal metabolites that promote seed germination and boost plant growth (Khandare *et al.*, 2020). These growth-enhancing substances include indole acetic acid and gibberellins, which help increase chlorophyll content and accumulate photosynthates, improving plant health (Arifin *et al.*, 2021). As shown in Table 2, applying *Azotobacter* to wheat can boost yields by 10.5-10.9% (Khandare *et al.*, 2020). Additionally, using *Dyella japonica* and *Enterobacter cloacae* on maize has increased yield by 40.8% (Sembiring and Sabrina, 2022).

## Phosphate solubilizing bacteria

Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) play a crucial role in making phosphorus (P) more accessible to plants by speeding up the breakdown of organic P compounds and the dissolution of inorganic P in the soil

(Khandare *et al.*, 2020). PSB utilizes various mechanisms to enhance phosphorus availability, including producing organic acids, acidification, chelation, enzymatic processes, ion exchange, and improving soil structure. Through the secretion of organic acids and proton release, PSB dissolves inorganic P (Abderrazak *et al.*, 2014). The organic acids produced by PSB, such as acetic acid, lactic acid, fumaric acid, glycolic acid, and succinic acid (Bargaz *et al.*, 2021), lower the soil pH around them, facilitating the conversion of insoluble phosphate compounds like calcium phosphate into soluble forms like dihydrogen phosphate (HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), which plants can absorb (Rodríguez and Fraga, 1999). Additionally, PSB can produce inorganic acids, such as hydrochloric acid, which further acidify the soil, enhancing phosphate solubility by protonation of phosphate ions and aiding in their release from mineral complexes (Tian *et al.*, 2021).

The chelation of metal ions occurs because some phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) can produce siderophores and other chelating agents that bind to metal ions like Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, associated with phosphate minerals. This chelation frees phosphate ions into the soil solution, making them accessible for plant absorption (Sharma *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, PSB in the soil can release various enzymes such as phosphatase, phytase, phosphodiesterase, phosphomonoesterase, phospholipase, and phosphoesterase (Richardson and Simpson, 2011). These enzymes break down complex organic phosphates into simpler inorganic forms, thus enhancing the phosphorus availability to plants (Sharma and Sharma, 2022). Additionally, the activity of PSB can improve soil structure by producing polysaccharides that promote soil aggregation. Better soil structure facilitates root growth and increases the root surface area in contact with soil particles, improving phosphorus uptake by plants (Backer *et al.*, 2018). According to a study by Beltran-Medina *et al.* (2022), the use of Rhizobium can lead to a 17% increase in crop yields, while research by Shome *et al.* (2022) indicates that using *Rhizobium japonicum* and *Pseudomonas striata* as biofertilizers on soybean can boost yields by 60%.

## Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR)

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are a diverse group of root-associated bacteria that can directly or indirectly enhance plant growth (Cavite *et al.*, 2021). PGPR is increasingly popular because it can reduce the need for fertilizers, improve fertilization efficiency, and decrease the reliance on synthetic pesticides and agrochemicals (Rana *et al.*, 2012). PGPR contributes to plant nutrient availability through nitrogen fixation, nutrient solubilization, and exopolysaccharide production (Rouphael and Colla, 2020; Ahmad *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, PGPR produces plant growth-promoting substances like IAA, gibberellin, and ACC deaminase (Cavite *et al.*, 2021).

Under biotic stress, PGPR can inhibit soil pathogens through mechanisms such as the production of hydrogen cyanide (HCN), siderophores, antibiotics, antifungal activity, and volatile organic compounds (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2016). In the face of abiotic stress, PGPR application can improve plant tolerance to challenges like drought, salinity, and metal toxicity (Harahap *et al.*, 2023). The effectiveness of PGPR is influenced by factors such as soil pH, organic matter, environmental conditions, and its interactions with other microorganisms in the rhizosphere (Billah *et al.*, 2019). Research has led to commercializing various biofertilizers, including species like *Pseudomonas*, nitrogen-fixing *Azospirillum*, and *Bacillus* (Timmusk *et al.*, 2017). Studies by Ortega Pérez *et al.* (2023) indicate that *Azotobacter* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. can increase tomato yields by 20–32%. Similarly, Khan *et al.* (2023) reported that a biofertilizer containing *Planomicrobium chinense* and *Azotobacter chroococcum* can boost maize yields by 81% (Table 2).

#### Azolla

Azolla serves as an essential biofertilizer, playing a significant role in enhancing plant growth and development. This small aquatic fern establishes a symbiotic relationship with the nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium *Anabaena azollae*. As a biofertilizer, Azolla contributes to soil fertility through various processes, including nitrogen fixation, adding organic matter, phosphorus solubilization, and allelopathic interactions. *A. azollae* converts atmospheric nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) into ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) through nitrogen fixation.

This ammonia is then integrated into amino acids and proteins, enriching the nitrogen content in biomass of Azolla. When Azolla is incorporated into the soil, it decomposes and releases nitrogen, making it accessible to plants (Khan *et al.*, 2021).

Using Azolla as a biofertilizer introduces organic material into the soil, which, as it decomposes, enhances soil structure, boosts microbial activity, and improves water retention. This organic material also provides nutrients for soil microorganisms, impacting nutrient cycling and plant availability. Azolla can solubilize insoluble phosphate compounds by secreting organic acids, making these nutrients accessible to plants. Furthermore, Azolla produces allelopathic compounds that inhibit the growth of weeds and harmful microorganisms in the soil, reducing competition for nutrients and creating better conditions for plant growth. The symbiotic relationship between Azolla and Anabaena can fix 30–60 kg of nitrogen per hectare within 30 days, enough to meet crop nitrogen needs for several weeks (Yadav *et al.*, 2023). Annually, Azolla species can fix up to 1,000 kg of atmospheric nitrogen per hectare (Carrapiço 2010).

## Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are a key functional group that significantly enhances plant growth, nutrition, and productivity (Adeyemi *et al.*, 2021). AMF application is highly effective in improving plant assimilation, uptake, and transport of essential macro and micronutrients (Sharma and Sharma, 2022). These fungi establish a symbiotic relationship with plant roots, facilitating water uptake and nutrient acquisition through an extensive hyphal network (Berruti *et al.*, 2016). The hyphae produced by AMF penetrate root cells, increasing root surface area and enhancing nutrient absorption (Feng *et al.*, 2022). AMF are particularly adept at improving plant phosphorus (P) availability, with P acquisition facilitated by extraradical hyphae and fungal P transporters (De Nardi *et al.*, 2024). The extraradical mycelium of AMF acts as an extension of plant roots, exploring large soil volumes for nutrients, especially P, in exchange for carbohydrates (Adeyemi *et al.*, 2022). In addition to plant nutrition, AMF contributes to crucial ecosystem functions and processes, including nutrient cycling, plant resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses, and soil aggregate stability (Verbruggen *et al.*, 2013). Research by Adeyemi *et al.* (2021), summarized in Table 2, demonstrates that the use of *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Rhizophagus intraradices*, and *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* can increase soybean yields by 44.2% to 46.5%.

No	Type of biofertilizer	Species	Crop	Persentase of productivity	Referenc e
1	Consortium of decomposer fungi, P Solubilizing bacteria, N- fixing bacteria	<i>Trichoderma</i> sp., <i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Azospirillum</i> sp.	Soybea n	The highest soybean yields were obtained by applying biofertilizer phytohormone-producing bacteria ( <i>Methylobacterium</i> sp.) with 150% NPK is 1.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> .	(Maftu'Ah <i>et al.</i> , 2023)
2	AMF	Glomus spp.	Rice	The impact on grain yield was observed in soil water potential, with reductions ranging from 38– 50% for wet direct-seeded plants and 38–53% for transplanted plants.	(Das <i>et al.</i> , 2022)
3	AMF	Funneliformis mosseae, Rhizophagus	Soybea n	The studies indicated that inoculating with R. <i>intraradices</i> and F. <i>mosseae</i> resulted in the	(Adeyemi <i>et al.</i> , 2021)

Table 2. The Impact of biofertilizer application on crop productivity enhancement

		intraradices, and Claroideoglomus etunicatum		highest yield increases, ranging from 44.2% to 45.4% and 44.9% to 46.5% over the control in both soybean cultivars.	
4	PGPR	Azotobacter spp, Bacillus spp	Tomato	The findings indicated that regularly supplying biofertilizers containing PGPB increased crop yield by 20–32%.	(Ortega Pérez <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2023)
5	PGPR	Bacillus megaterium, Pseudomonas fluorescens, and Pantoea agglomerans	Lettuce and Broccoli	Chemical and biofertilizer combinations resulted in total and marketable lettuce yields ranging from 6.50 to 8.08 kg m <sup>-2</sup> .	(Demir <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2023)
6	PGPR	Planomicrobium chinense andAzotobacter chroococum	Maize	The enhancement of hormonal activity and the activity of plant- defense-related antioxidant enzymes contributed to a boost in yield, with an observed increase in grain yield of 81%.	(Khan <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2023)
7	PGPR	Acidovorax delafieldii	Rice	A notable rise of 34% in grain yield per plant was recorded in plants cultivated in sterilized soil compared to those grown in natural soil conditions.	(Cavite <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2021)
8	PGPR	Burkholderia ubonensis, Burkholderia vietnamiensis, and Citrobacter bitternis	Rice	Grain yield increased by 2.5% to 13.5% in the inoculated treatments that received either 75% or 100% (150 kg N ha-1) of the nitrogen fertilizer dose.	(Ríos-Ruiz et al., 2020)
9	PGPR	Pseudomonas syringae	Maize	The highest grain yield was achieved by applying P. syringae combined with 50% NPK and urea, resulting in a 30.64% increase.	(Amogou <i>et al.,</i> 2021)
10	PGPR	Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus aryabhattai andBacillus aryabhatta	Maize	The grain yield was significantly higher by 17% and 12%, respectively, with consortium application compared to the control.	(Ahmad <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2023)
11	NFB	Azotobacter	Wheat	Applying carrier-based biofertilizer to the soil at 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> , and liquid-based biofertilizers at 625 and 1250 mL ha <sup>-1</sup> , combined with 75% NP, was comparable to using 100% NP, resulting in a significant increase in grain yield by 10.9%, 10.5%, and 10.8%, respectively.	(Khandare <i>et al.</i> , 2020)

12	NFB	Dyella japonica and Enterobacter cloacae	Maize	The N3U1 treatment could boost plant production by as much as 40.8% compared to the control.	(Sembirin g and Sabrina, 2022)
13	NFB	Azotobacter chroococcum	Rice	The grain yield of TPR on bare soil was increased by 31.9%.	(Meena <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2023)
14	NFB	Azotobacter	Sorgum	The sorghum variety Bohuth-70, treated with F0, achieved an impressive grain yield of 7.157 mg ha <sup>-1</sup> .	(Alaarage and Alamery, 2023)
15	PSB	Rhizobium	Maize	17% increase in grain yield, equivalent to 20 g per plant, was achieved by using 50% diammonium phosphate (DAP) compared to the control treatment with the same amount.	(Beltran- Medina <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2022)
16	PSB	Rhizobium japonicum and Pseudomonas striata	Soybea n	A combination of 50% N+R. <i>japonicum</i> and 75% P + P. <i>striata</i> produced a 60% higher yield than control.	(Shome <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2022)
17	NFB and PSB	Bacillus megaterium	Maize	The maize yield was significantly enhanced by 54.7% and 48.1% in both seasons when using a combination of B. <i>megaterium</i> and micro-dosed NPK 2:3:2 fertilizer, compared to the control.	(Kubheka <i>et al.</i> , 2020)

## **Benefits and performance of biofertilizers**

## Soil health and fertility improvement

Biofertilizers are live, ready-to-use mixtures of helpful microbes that can be applied to seed, root, or soil, increasing the microbe's availability and utility. Biofertilizers are pivotal in bolstering soil health and fertility through their multifaceted benefits and performance. Biofertilizers improve soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen in conjunction with plant roots and the absence of plant roots (Khumairah et al., 2018). In the soil, some chemicals help plants develop. They are being monitored and encouraged to utilize the existing biological system to mobilize nutrients naturally (Ghosh et al., 2020). Moreover, biofertilizers improve soil structure by promoting aggregation and stability, which enhances water retention and aeration, facilitating optimal root growth and overall plant vigor (Akram et al., 2020). Embracing biofertilizers underscores a commitment to sustainability, as they mitigate environmental impact by reducing reliance on chemical inputs and minimizing nutrient runoff (Alnaass et al., 2023; Haroun et al., 2023). Biofertilizers can improve water-holding capacity of soil, reduce soil erosion, and enhance the overall biodiversity of the soil ecosystem (Baldi et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023). This is particularly important in areas where soil degradation is a significant concern, as biofertilizers can help mitigate the negative impacts of erosion and nutrient depletion. This eco-friendly approach conserves biodiversity and translates into long-term cost savings for farmers. Additionally, biofertilizers have been demonstrated to boost crop yields and improve produce quality by fortifying plants against diseases and pests. Their adaptability to diverse cropping systems

and compatibility with other fertilizers make them versatile for enhancing agricultural productivity while nurturing soil vitality for future generations.

#### Nutrient pptake enhancement

Biofertilizers are the formulation of living or latent microbes' cells, providing an additional advantage in nutrient uptake and plant performance in the rhizosphere. The biofertilizer formulation technique is simple and has a low installation cost. The former can be composed of a single or a mix of two or more diverse microbial strains, including Acetobacter, Azotobacter, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Rhizobium, PGPB, and AM (Basu et al., 2021; Fasusi et al., 2021; Mohanty et al., 2021). Biofertilizers have been found to significantly enhance plant nutrient uptake by promoting beneficial microbial activity in the soil. The application of nutrient-mobilizing microbial inoculants has been found to stimulate the root and shoot growth, enhance nutrient uptake, and increase seed yield of different crops under pot experiment as well as in the field under different agro-environmental conditions (Etesami et al., 2021). These microorganisms, such as rhizobia, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria, form symbiotic relationships with plant roots, increasing the availability of essential nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (Herdiyantoro et al., 2018; Khumairah et al., 2020; Setiawati et al., 2022). This enhanced nutrient uptake can lead to improved plant growth, increased crop yields, and enhanced plant quality. Additionally, biofertilizers can also solubilize minerals, making them more accessible to plants, and produce plant growth-promoting substances that stimulate root development and nutrient uptake (Kumutha et al., 2023). This natural process of nutrient uptake enhancement through biofertilizers can reduce the reliance on synthetic fertilizers which can have negative environmental impacts, and promote a more sustainable and environmentally friendly approach to agriculture.

## Strategies for promoting biofertilizers

## Awareness campaigns

Several key strategies can be used to promote biofertilizers effectively in awareness campaigns. Education is crucial, and campaigns should emphasize the advantages of biofertilizers in improving soil health, boosting crop yields, and reducing environmental impact. It is important to encourage the adoption of biofertilizers among farmers through voluntary awareness initiatives and surveys that assess their knowledge and highlight the benefits of biofertilizers compared to chemical fertilizers. This approach should especially target agricultural areas still in their natural state, supporting micro-innovative projects based on entrepreneurship to create essential local fertilizers from local resources. These projects can provide cost-effective solutions for farmers, including microbial biostimulants and biofilm-based biofertilizers (Ammar *et al.*, 2023).

Farmers need training and extension services to understand how to use biofertilizers effectively and the benefits they offer. These services can be provided through agricultural cooperatives, government programs, or non-governmental organizations. Governments have a crucial role in encouraging biofertilizer use and should streamline the regulatory process to make these products more accessible. Public awareness campaigns and educational initiatives can help consumers learn about biofertilizers, the value of sustainable agriculture, and the environmental impact of their food choices (Ahmed *et al.*, 2023b). Additionally, offering incentives such as subsidies, training, and certification can promote the adoption of biofertilizers. Engaging local communities and stakeholders to address their concerns and support implementation is key to fostering acceptance and ensuring sustainability. By adopting these strategies, awareness campaigns can effectively drive the widespread use of biofertilizers, supporting sustainable agricultural practices and environmental care.

## Research and development initiatives

Promoting biofertilizers in research and development requires a comprehensive approach that includes innovation, collaboration, and the spread of knowledge. First, it is essential to invest in research to understand biofertilizer effectiveness better, improve production methods, and investigate new microbial strains. This should involve partnerships between academia, industry, and government agencies to utilize expertise and

resources effectively. Encouraging interdisciplinary research that combines microbiology, agronomy, and soil science can foster innovation and tackle complex issues (Jacob and Paranthaman, 2023). Additionally, sharing research results through publications, conferences, and outreach helps keep the scientific community and policymakers informed and involved. By focusing on these strategies, adopting biofertilizers can be accelerated, promoting sustainable agricultural practices and environmental benefits.

Another approach involves leveraging advanced technologies in smart agriculture, such as simulation systems tailored for different crops. This includes using IoT applications, big data, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence to analyze plant diversity across regions. These technologies help identify the necessary biofertilizers for promoting growth and determine the most effective organisms for biofertilizer extraction (Ammar *et al.*, 2023). Ongoing research is crucial to assess the effectiveness and compatibility of various biofertilizer strains with different crops. Collaboration among scientists and agricultural experts is essential for biofertilizer technologies' continuous refinement and innovation (Ahmed *et al.*, 2023a).

## **Policy recommendations**

To effectively promote the use of biofertilizers, governments can adopt several strategic policy measures. They should establish a regulatory framework to ensure the quality and safety of biofertilizers and run public awareness campaigns to educate farmers, agro-dealers, and extension agents about their benefits, proper handling, storage, and usage (Malusá and Vassilev, 2014). Governments might also consider offering subsidies or incentives for biofertilizer adoption, supporting research institutions to innovate in biofertilizer production, and providing extension services to farmers (Raimi *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, establishing a certification process for biofertilizers, fostering market development, and collaborating with private companies to enhance and promote biofertilizers could be beneficial. By implementing these recommendations, governments can foster an environment conducive to adopting and using biofertilizers, ultimately promoting more sustainable and eco-friendly agricultural practices.

## Challenges and future prospects of biofertilizers

## Potential impact on sustainable agriculture

The potential impact of biofertilizers on sustainable agriculture is enormous because biofertilizers offer a more environmentally friendly alternative to chemical fertilizers. Biofertilizers from beneficial microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and cyanobacteria can increase soil fertility, plant tolerance, and plant productivity while reducing dependence on chemical inputs. One of the main benefits of biofertilizers is their ability to improve soil health by increasing the uptake and availability of nutrients for plants. This is achieved through various mechanisms such as mineral dissolution, production of plant growth hormones, and suppression of plant pathogens. Additionally, biofertilizers can increase crop tolerance to environmental stresses such as drought, salinity, and extreme temperatures, which is critical for agricultural sustainability in climate change (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2014).

Biofertilizers offer a more sustainable approach to farming by reducing the environmental impact of chemical fertilizers. Chemical fertilizers can pollute waterways, contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, and harm beneficial microorganisms in soil ecosystems. In contrast, biofertilizers are generally biodegradable and non-toxic, minimizing environmental impacts. In addition, biofertilizers can contribute to developing more resilient and diverse agricultural ecosystems. By promoting beneficial microorganisms in the soil, biofertilizers can improve biodiversity and soil structure, which is important for maintaining ecosystem services and supporting long-term agricultural productivity (Chittora *et al.*, 2020).

## Areas for future research

The challenges and prospects of biofertilizers are multifaceted and involve various aspects of their development, production, and application. Biofertilizers face challenges such as temperature sensitivity,

regulatory frameworks, social acceptance, production costs, matching crops and nutrient concentration, research and development, public education and awareness, and market development. Despite these challenges, biofertilizers are expected to play a significant role in sustainable agriculture, particularly in climate change and the need to reduce chemical fertilizer use. The development of biofertilizers that are more efficient, scalable, and cost-effective will be crucial to their widespread adoption, and their integration into precision agriculture and digital farming practices can enhance their effectiveness and efficiency (Chakraborty and Akhtar 2021; Aloo *et al.*, 2022).

#### CONCLUSION

The bibliometric and systematic literature review analysis provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture globally and in Indonesia. The analysis highlights the multifaceted applications of biofertilizers, including the use of rhizobacteria, experiments, and the development of biofertilizers for climate change tolerance and their microbial community in soil. The article emphasizes the significant potential of biofertilizers in promoting eco-friendly sustainable agriculture in Indonesia, despite the challenges faced in their adoption, such as inconsistent field results, limited farmer awareness, and inadequate infrastructure for production and distribution. To overcome these hurdles, the article proposes strategies like farmer education, developing region-specific strains, and supportive government policies. Additionally, it underscores the need for robust research and development efforts and improved distribution networks to ensure the quality and accessibility of biofertilizers. The conclusion emphasizes the importance of this transition for achieving long-term agricultural sustainability and food security in Indonesia.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

All authors contributed equally.

#### **COMPETING INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### REFERENCES

- Abderrazak R, Laila N, Jamal I. 2014. Genetic diversity and phosphate solubilizing ability of Triticum aestivum rhizobacteria isolated from Meknes region, Morocco. Afr J Microbiol Res 8. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajmr2013.5956
- Abioye OM, Olasehinde DA, Abadunmi T (2024) The Role of Biofertilizers in Sustainable Agriculture: An Eco-Friendly Alternative to Conventional Chemical Fertilizers. Applied Science and Engineering Progress 17:. https://doi.org/10.14416/j.asep.2023.07.001
- Adeyemi NO, Atayese MO, Sakariyawo OS, et al. 2021. Influence of different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi isolates in enhancing growth, phosphorus uptake and grain yield of soybean in a phosphorus deficient soil under field conditions. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 52:. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2021.1879117
- Adeyemi NO, Atayese MO, Sakariyawo OS, Azeez JO. 2022. Mycorrhizal growth and phosphorus responses of tropical soybean (Glycine max L.) cultivars differ with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

isolates and phosphorus application rates in a derived-savanna zone of Nigeria. J Plant Nutr 45:1223–1239. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2021.1994593

- Ahmad M, Hussain A, Dar A, et al. 2023. Combating iron and zinc malnutrition through mineral biofortification in maize through plant growth promoting Bacillus and Paenibacillus species. Front Plant Sci 13:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1094551
- Ahmed T, Noman M, Gardea-Torresdey JL, et al. 2023a. Dynamic interplay between nano-enabled agrochemicals and the plant-associated microbiome. Trends Plant Sci 28.
- Ahmed WK, Alsalim HAA, Mohammed AT, Youssef HM. 2023b. Evaluation of the effectiveness of some mycorrhizal fungi isolates against charcoal rot disease. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-023-00747-3.
- Akram MS, Cheema MA, Nadeem F, et al. 2020. Role of bio-fertilizers in sustainable agriculture. Mediterranean Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences (MJBAS) (Quarterly International Journal) 4.
- Alaarage SS, Alamery AA. 2023. role of bio-azotobacter and nitrogen fertilizers on growth and yield traits of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor l.). sabrao J Breed Genet 55:1311–1320. https://doi.org/10.54910/sabrao2023.55.4.24.
- Ali S, Tyagi A, Mir RA, et al (2023) Plant beneficial microbiome a boon for improving multiple stress tolerance in plants. Front Plant Sci 14
- Allouzi MMA, Allouzi SMA, Keng ZX, et al (2022) Liquid biofertilizers as a sustainable solution for agriculture. Heliyon 8
- Alnaass NS, Agil HK, Alyaseer NA, et al. 2023. The effect of biofertilization on plant growth and its role in reducing soil pollution problems with chemical fertilizers. African Journal of Advanced Pure and Applied Sciences (AJAPAS) 2.
- Aloo BN, Tripathi V, Makumba BA, Mbega ER. 2022. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacterial biofertilizers for crop production: The past, present, and future. Front Plant Sci 13. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1002448.
- Ambarita, D. D. M., Mora, E., Fitriatin, B. N., Ruswandi, D., & Simarmata, T. (2024). Biochemical traits and biological assessment of indigenous biofilm-forming rhizophosphate bacteria isolated from salinity and acidity stressed soils to enhance maize growth. Journal of Ecological Engineering, 25(9), 328-339.
- Ammar EE, Rady HA, Khattab AM, et al. 2023. A comprehensive overview of eco-friendly bio-fertilizers extracted from living organisms. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 30.
- Amogou O, Noumavo AP, Agbodjato NA, et al. 2021. Rhizobacterial inoculation in combination with mineral fertilizer improves maize growth and yield in poor ferruginous soil in central Benin. Biotechnologia 102:141–155. https://doi.org/10.5114/bta.2021.106520.
- Arifin MS, Rion MSI, Rahman A, et al. 2021. Salt tolerant rhizobacteria from coastal region of bangladesh portrayed the potential for plant growth promotion. Journal of Applied Life Sciences International. https://doi.org/10.9734/jalsi/2021/v24i230223
- Backer R, Rokem JS, Ilangumaran G, et al. 2018. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: Context, mechanisms of action, and roadmap to commercialization of biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. Front Plant Sci 871:1–17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01473.
- Baldi E, Gioacchini P, Montecchio D, et al. 2021. Effect of biofertilizers application on soil biodiversity and litter degradation in a commercial apricot orchard. Agronomy 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11061116.
- Bargaz A, Elhaissoufi W, Khourchi S, et al. 2021. Benefits of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on belowground crop performance for improved crop acquisition of phosphorus. Microbiol Res 252.
- Barin M, Asadzadeh F, Hosseini M, et al (2022) Optimization of Biofertilizer Formulation for Phosphorus Solubilizing by Pseudomonas fluorescens Ur21 via Response Surface Methodology. Processes 10:. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10040650
- Basu A, Prasad P, Das SN, et al. 2021. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (pgpr) as green bioinoculants: Recent developments, constraints, and prospects. Sustainability (Switzerland) 13(3):1140.
- Beltran-Medina JI, Romero-Perdomo F, Molano-Chavez L, et al. 2022. Differential plant growth promotion under reduced phosphate rates in two genotypes of maize by a rhizobial phosphate-solubilizing strain. Front Sustain Food Syst 6:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.955473
- Berruti A, Lumini E, Balestrini R, Bianciotto V. 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as natural biofertilizers: Let's benefit from past successes. Front Microbiol 6.

- Bhardwaj D, Ansari MW, Sahoo RK, Tuteja N. 2014. Biofertilizers function as key player in sustainable agriculture by improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop productivity. Microb Cell Fact 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-13-66
- Bhattacharyya PN, Goswami MP, Bhattacharyya LH. 2016. Perspective of beneficial microbes in agriculture under changing climatic scenario: A review. Journal of Phytology 8:26–41.
- Billah M, Khan M, Bano A, et al. 2019. Phosphorus and phosphate solubilizing bacteria: Keys for sustainable agriculture. Geomicrobiol J 36.
- Carrapiço F. 2010. Azolla as a Superorganism. Its Implication in Symbiotic Studies.
- Cavite HJM, Mactal AG, Evangelista E V, Cruz JA. 2021. Growth and yield response of upland rice to application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. J Plant Growth Regul 40:494–508. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10114-3
- Chakraborty T, Akhtar N. 2021. Biofertilizers: Prospects and Challenges for Future. In: Biofertilizers: Study and Impact
- Chittora D, Meena M, Barupal T, Swapnil P. 2020. Cyanobacteria as a source of biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture. Biochem Biophys Rep 22. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrep.2020.100737.
- Das D, Ullah H, Himanshu SK, et al. 2022. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation and phosphorus application improve growth, physiological traits, and grain yield of rice under alternate wetting and drying irrigation. J Plant Physiol 278:. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2022.153829
- De Nardi FS, Trentin TDS, Trentin NDS, et al. 2024. Mycorrhizal biotechnology reduce phosphorus in the nutrient solution of strawberry soilless cultivation systems. Agronomy 14:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14020355.
- Demir H, Sönmez İ, Uçan U, Akgün İH (2023) Biofertilizers Improve the Plant Growth, Yield, and Mineral Concentration of Lettuce and Broccoli. Agronomy 13:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13082031
- Etesami H, Jeong BR, Glick BR. 2021. Contribution of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, phosphate– solubilizing bacteria, and silicon to P uptake by plant. Front Plant Sci 12.
- Fasusi OA, Amoo AE, Babalola OO. 2021. Propagation and characterization of viable arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal spores within maize plant (Zea mays L.). J Sci Food Agric 101:5834–5841. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.11235.
- Feng J, Lv W, Xu J, et al. 2022. Overlapping root architecture and gene expression of nitrogen transporters for nitrogen acquisition of tomato plants colonized with isolates of funneliformis mosseae in hydroponic production. Plants 11.
- Fitriatin, B., Ambarita, D. D. M., Setiawati, M, R., and Simarmata, T. (2021). The role of rhizobacterial inoculum and formulated soil amendment in improving soil chemical-biological properties, chlorophyll content and agronomic efficiency of maize under marginal soils. Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences. https://doi.org/10.54319/jjbs/140329
- Ghosh D, Brahmachari K, Brestic M, et al (2020) Integrated weed and nutrient management improve yield, nutrient uptake and economics of maize in the rice-maize cropping system of eastern india. Agronomy 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10121906.
- Goud, B.R., Raghavendra, M., Prasad, P.S., Hatti, V., Halli, H.M., Nayaka, G.V., Suresh, G., Maheshwari, K.S., Adilakshmi, G., Reddy, G.P. and Rajpoot, S.K., 2022. Sustainable management and restoration of the fertility of damaged soils. *Agriculture Issues and Policies*, 113.
- Harahap RT, Azizah IR, Setiawati MR, et al. 2023. Enhancing upland rice growth and yield with indigenous plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (pgpr) isolate at n-fertilizers dosage. Agriculture (Switzerland) 13:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13101987.
- Haroun M, Xie S, Awadelkareem W, et al. 2023. Influence of biofertilizer on heavy metal bioremediation and enzyme activities in the soil to revealing the potential for sustainable soil restoration. Sci Rep 13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-44986-8.
- Harsono A, Sucahyono D, Pratiwi E, et al (2021) The effectiveness of technology packages of 15 biofertilizer formulas to increase soybean productivity on acidic soils. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science
- Haryantini BA, Yuwariah Y, Amien S, et al (2019) Community of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Amf) of chili rhizosphere and natural forest ecosystem. Malaysian Journal of Soil Science 23:
- Herdiyantoro D, Setiawati MR, Simarmata T, et al. 2018. The ability of potassium solubilizing rhizobacteria isolated from maize rhizosphere for microbial fertilizer. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.

- Irmawanty, I., Safitri, D., Rukman, W. Y., & Syam, H. 2021. The effect of types of organic waste on the quality of solid and liquid organic fertilizers and their application on potato plants. Journal of Indonesian Science Society Service, 6(1)
- Jacob SM, Paranthaman S. 2023. Biofertilizers: an advent for eco-friendly and sustainable agriculture development. Vegetos 36.
- Ji S, Liu Z, Liu B, et al (2020) The effect of Trichoderma biofertilizer on the quality of flowering Chinese cabbage and the soil environment. Sci Hortic 262:. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.109069
- Khan A, Khan RA, Ali M, Shinwari ZK. 2023. Impact of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria biofertilzers on biochemical attributes, antioxidant activities, nutritional values and productivity of maize. Pak J Bot 55:2057–2066. https://doi.org/10.30848/PJB2023-6(33).
- Khan F, Kim NE, Bhujel A, et al. 2021. Assessment of combined trichoderma-enriched biofertilizer and nutrients solutions on the growth and yield of strawberry plants. Journal of Biosystems Engineering 46:225–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42853-021-00102-0.
- Khandare RN, Chandra R, Pareek N, Raverkar KP. 2020. Carrier-based and liquid bioinoculants of Azotobacter and PSB saved chemical fertilizers in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and enhanced soil biological properties in Mollisols. J Plant Nutr 43:36–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2019.1659333
- Khumairah FH, Jingga A, Fitriatin BN, Simarmata T. 2020. P uptake and solubility, growth characteristics and the yield of maize (Zea mays l.) due to phosphorhizobacteria and organic fertilizers in acid soil. Journal of Agricultural Sciences Sri Lanka 15. https://doi.org/10.4038/jas.v15i3.9033
- Khumairah FH, Nurbaity A, Fitriatin BN, et al. 2018. In vitro test and bioassay of selected Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) by using maize seedlings. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science
- Khumairah FH, Setiawati MR, Fitriatin BN, et al. 2022. Halotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria isolated from saline soil improve nitrogen fixation and alleviate salt stress in rice plants. Front Microbiol 13:1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.905210.
- Kloepper JW, Leong J, Teintze M, Schroth MN (1980) Enhanced plant growth by siderophores produced by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Nature 286:. https://doi.org/10.1038/286885a0
- Kubheka BP, Laing MD, Yobo KS. 2020. Combinations of a biofertilizer with micro-dosed chemical fertilizers increased yield of maize in a high acid saturated soil. Rhizosphere 13:. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2020.100189.
- Kumutha K, Parimala Devi R, Marimuthu P, Krishnamoorthy R. 2023. Shelf life studies of seed coat formulation of rhizobium and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (amf) for pulses a new perspective in biofertilizer technology. Indian J Agric Res 57:89–94. https://doi.org/10.18805/IJARe.A-5543.
- Langenfeld NJ, Kusuma P, Wallentine T, et al (2021) Optimizing Nitrogen Fixation and Recycling for Food Production in Regenerative Life Support Systems. Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences 8
- Mącik, M., Gryta, A., & Frąc, M. (2020). Biofertilizers in agriculture: An overview on concepts, strategies and effects on soil microorganisms. Advances in Agronomy, 162, 31-87.
- Maftu'Ah E, Susilawati A, Lestari Y, et al. 2023. Application of bio and NPK fertilizer to improve yield soybean and acid sulfate soil properties in Indonesia. Chil J Agric Res 83:52–62. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392023000100052
- Malusá E, Vassilev N. 2014. A contribution to set a legal framework for biofertilisers. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98.
- Manasikana A, Kuswanto L, Kusrinah K. 2019. Pengaruh dosis rhizobium serta macam pupuk npk terhadap pertumbuhan tanaman kedelai (Glycine max) varietas anjasmoro. Al-Hayat: Journal of Biology and Applied Biology 2:. https://doi.org/10.21580/ah.v2i1.4647
- Meena RK, Singh YV, Shivay YS, et al. 2023. Rice performance as influenced by crop establishment methods, green organic mulches and rates of nitrogen fertilization along with liquid Azotobacter chroococcum. J Plant Nutr 46:. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2022.2068440.
- Mohanty P, Singh PK, Chakraborty D, et al. 2021. Insight Into the Role of PGPR in Sustainable Agriculture and Environment. Front Sustain Food Syst 5.
- Mulyani A, Kuncoro D, Nursyamsi D, Agus F (2016) Analysis of Paddy Field Conversion: The Utilization of High Resolution Spatial Data Shows an Alarming Conversion Rate. Jurnal Tanah dan Iklim 40:

- Ortega Pérez R, Nieto García JC, Gallegos-Cedillo VM, et al. 2023. Biofertilizers enriched with pgpb improve soil fertility and the productivity of an intensive tomato crop. Agronomy 13:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13092286.
- Prayoga MK, Setiawati MR, Stöber S, et al (2021) Climate field schools to increase farmers' adaptive capacity to climate change in the southern coastline of Java. Open Agric 6:192–201
- Raimi A, Roopnarain A, Adeleke R. 2021. Biofertilizer production in Africa: Current status, factors impeding adoption and strategies for success. Sci Afr 11.
- Rana A, Joshi M, Prasanna R, et al. 2012. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. Eur J Soil Biol 50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.01.005.
- Reed SC, Cleveland CC, Townsend AR. 2011. Functional ecology of free-living nitrogen fixation: a contemporary perspective. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 42. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145034
- Rehmaan, I.U., Jan, B., Khan, N.F., Islam, T., Rehman, S., Sheergojri, I.A., Rashid, I., War, A.F., Nanda, S.A. and Wani, A.H., 2022. Nitrogen biofertilizers: role in sustainable agriculture. In Advances in plant nitrogen metabolism (pp. 170-184). CRC Press.
- Richardson AE, Simpson RJ. 2011. Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus availability update on microbial phosphorus. Plant Physiol 156. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175448.
- Ríos-Ruiz WF, Torres-Chávez EE, Torres-Delgado J, et al. 2020. Inoculation of bacterial consortium increases rice yield (Oryza sativa L.) reducing applications of nitrogen fertilizer in San Martin region, Peru. Rhizosphere 14:. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2020.100200.
- Rodríguez H, Fraga R. 1999. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnol Adv 17:. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-9750(99)00014-2
- Rouphael Y, Colla G. 2020. Toward a sustainable agriculture through plant biostimulants: From experimental data to practical applications. Agronomy 10.
- Sembiring M, Sabrina T. 2022. Effectiveness of Dyella japonica and Enterobacter cloacae as biofertilizers to increase maize (Zea mays) production on andisol soil. Biodiversitas 23. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d230705
- Setiawati MR, Damayani M, Herdiyantoro D, et al. 2018. The application dosage of Azolla pinnata in fresh and powder form as organic fertilizer on soil chemical properties, growth and yield of rice plant. In: AIP Conference Proceedings.
- Setiawati MR, Khumairah FH, Fitriatin BN, Simarmata T. 2022. Application technique and dosage of halotolerant nitrogen biofertilizer for increasing soil total n, n uptake, chlorophyll content, photosynthate accumulation and growth of rice plants in saline ecosystem. Polish Journal of Soil Science 55:79–91. https://doi.org/10.17951/pjss/2022.55.2.79.
- Sharma R, Sharma S. 2022. Development of native Pseudomonas aeruginosa bioformulations with plant growth promoting potential for apple crop in Himachal Pradesh. J Plant Nutr 45:1041–1052. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2021.2003399
- Sharma SB, Sayyed RZ, Trivedi MH, Gobi TA. 2013. Phosphate solubilizing microbes: Sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. Springerplus 2.
- Shi J, Wang X, Wang E (2023) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Plant Growth and Stress Adaptation: From Genes to Ecosystems. Annu Rev Plant Biol 74
- Shome S, Barman A, Solaiman ZM. 2022. Rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria influence the soil nutrient availability, growth, yield, and quality of soybean. Agriculture (Switzerland) 12:. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12081136.
- Simarmata T (2013) Tropical bioresources to support biofertilizer industry and Sustainable agriculture in indonesia. International Seminar on Tropical Bio-resources for Sustainable Bioindustry
- Simarmata T, Prayoga MK, Setiawati MR, et al (2023) Environmentally friendly bioameliorant to increase soil fertility and rice (Oryza sativa) production. Open Agric 8:. https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2022-0185
- Simarmata T, Setiawati MR, Herdiyantoro D, Fitriatin BN (2018) Managing of organic-biofertilizers nutrient based and water saving technology for restoringthe soil health and enhancing the sustainability of rice production in Indonesia. In: IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science Vol. 205. p 012051
- The World Bank Group and Asian Development Bank (2021) Climate Risk Country Profile: Indonesia

- Tian J, Ge F, Zhang D, et al. 2021. Roles of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms from managing soil phosphorus deficiency to mediating biogeochemical p cycle. Biology (Basel) 10.
- Timmusk S, Behers L, Muthoni J, et al. 2017. Perspectives and challenges of microbial application for crop improvement. Front Plant Sci 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00049.
- UNFPA Indonesia (2018) UNFPA Indonesia | Indonesia Population Projection 2015-2045. Indonesia Statistics-Bappenas
- Umukoru, Barachel Odaro-Junior. (2020). Sustaining crop production in tropical ecosystems. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.123456
- Verbruggen E, van der Heijden MGA, Rillig MC, Kiers ET. 2013. Mycorrhizal fungal establishment in agricultural soils: Factors determining inoculation success. New Phytologist 197.

Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil 255

- Wang Y, Liu Z, Hao X, et al (2023) Biodiversity of the beneficial soil-borne fungi steered by Trichodermaamended biofertilizers stimulates plant production. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes 9:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-023-00416-1
- Yadav RK, Ramteke PW, Tripathi K, et al. 2023. Salinity induced alterations in the growth and cellular ion content of azolla caroliniana and azolla microphylla. J Plant Growth Regul 42:. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-022-10594-5